



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO.                                | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.   |  |  |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 09/840,646                                    | 04/23/2001      | Doug Carl Dohring    | 66486-00004             | 66486-00004 5043   |  |  |
| 22334   | 7590 10/18/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                |                    |  |  |
| PETER F WEINBERG GIBSON DUNN AND CRUTCHER LLP |                 |                      | CARLSON, J              | CARLSON, JEFFREY D |  |  |
| SUITE 4100                                    |                 | •                    | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER       |  |  |
| 1801 CALIFORNIA STREET                        |                 |                      | 3622                    | 3622               |  |  |
| DENVER, CO 80202                              |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005 |                    |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.    | Applicant(s)   | _ |  |
|--------------------|----------------|---|--|
| 09/840,646         | DOHRING ET AL. |   |  |
| Examiner           | Art Unit       |   |  |
| Jeffrey D. Carlson | 3622           |   |  |

| •  | Examino  | Ac onic   |  |
|--|--|---|--|
|  | Jeffrey D. Carlson   | 3622  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add   | ress                                       |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI   | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F   | OR ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:                         | the same day as filing a Notice of<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c             | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>idavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date   | of the final rejection.  |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or   | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE              | g date of the final rejecti   | on.  |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7<br>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date  |  | 36(a) and the appropria   | te extension fee                           |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply origi<br>r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi                     | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2)-as |
| <u>NOTICE OF APPEAL</u><br>2.  | sliance with 27 CER 41 27 must be  | filed within two month  | o of the data of                           |
| filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A birel in comp<br>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br>AMENDMENTS   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th   |  |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  | but prior to the date of filing a brief,   | will not be entered b   | ecause                                     |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  | nsideration and/or search (see NO  |   |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or  | • •  | ducing or simplifying   | the issues for                             |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally rej  | ected claims.   |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1  | 16 and 41.33(a)).  |   |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co  | mpliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324).                                |
| 5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)   | V  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  |  | -   |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  | ll be entered and an e  | explanation of                             |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:  |  |   | •  |
| Claim(s) objected to  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-24,26-33,36-54,56-61,64-79,81-8</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   | 33,85 and 88-90.   |   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |   |  |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |  |   |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar  | overcome all rejections under appear   | al and/or appellant fai   | Is to provide a                            |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attach   | ned.                                       |
| 11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  | t does NOT place the application in  | n condition for allowar   | nce because:                               |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N  | lo(s)   |  |
| 13.  Other:  |  | MM  |  |
|  |  | Seffrey D. Carlson<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 3622                |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the claims introduce claim scope not previously considered.